

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,089	08/19/2003	John Z. Wang	839-1479	3067
30024	7590 03/02/2004		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C./G.E.		LIN, KUANG Y		
1100 N. GLE SUITE 800	BE RD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, VA 22201		1725	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	\mathcal{H}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,089	WANG, JOHN Z.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		v (
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9 and 10 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		y (a) a. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau	•	ed III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·	ed				
See the attached detailed Since action for a list	of the definied dopies not reserve					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 4) ∭ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/10/03</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. Claim1, 2, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 and 5, respectively, it is not clear how the elliptical shape core pin can reduce the stress at the fillet. Also, there is a lack of antecedent basis in the specification for the claimed feature.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art as set forth in pages 1-2, and 4-5 of the specification as well as figures 1-4 of the drawing and further in view of EP 1,022,434.

The admitted prior art substantially show the invention as claimed except that cross-section of the support pins are not in an elliptical shape and that it does not show how the support pins are joined to the main core. However, EP '434 teaches to provide core rods for stiffing the adjacent ceramic coolant passages and having an elliptical cross-section in the core rods for forming elliptical, rather than circular transverse openings in the turbine bucket. Forming the openings in elliptical shape has an advantage of minimizing stress concentration in the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,089

Art Unit: 1725

bucket. It would have obvious that any opening formed in the turbine bucket, including the one created by the support pins adapted to support the core inside the shell die, shall also be in elliptical shape to minimizing stress concentration in view of EP '434. Thus, in view of the prior art teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to form the openings, created by the support pin, in the turbine bucket of the admitted prior art in the elliptical cross-sectional shape of EP '434 to minimize the stress concentration. With respect to the claimed feature of using fillet to join the support pin to the main core, it would have been obvious to use any joining method for joining the support pins to the main core as long as the pins can be securely attached to the main core.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,089

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

2-25-04